.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE EIGHTEENTH DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE J.CHELAMESWAR

and
THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE M.VENKATESWARA REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO : 10005 of 2005

Between:

Velpula Krishna, S/o V. Ashalu,
O/o Cheerlavancha (Village) Sircilla Mandal, Karimnagar , Karimnagar

District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The District Revenue Officer, Karimnagar, Karimnagar District.
2 The Revenue Divisional Officer, Sircilla, Karimnagar District.
3 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Sircilla, Karimnagar, Karimnagar District.
4 V. Bhommaiah, S/o Rajaiah,
R/o Cheerla Vancha, Sircilla Mandal, Karimnagar District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the impugned orders dated 21-4-2005 passed by the Hon'ble A.P.A.T. in VMA 143/2005 in O.A.No. 694/2005 and proceedings No. A4/776/2005 dated 8-2-2005 issued by the 1st respondent as illegal, violative of Principles of Natural justice and consequently to direct the respondents to continue the petitioner in service as Village Servant of Cheerlavancha Village, Sircilla Mandal, Karimnagar District.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.CH.JAGANNADHA RAO

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3: GP FOR REVENUE
Counsel for the Respondent No.4: Mr.J.R.MANOHAR RAO

The Court at the admission stage made the following :

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

ORDER: (per the Hon'ble Sri Justice J.Chelameswar)

--

Aggrieved by the order dated 21-04-2005 passed by the A.P. Administrative Tribunal, vacating the interim order of status-quo in favour of the writ petitioner dated 22-02-2005 in O.A.No.694 of 2005, the present writ petition is filed.

In our view the factual details are not really required at this stage. The only thing that we need to state is that the impugned orders were passed on 21-04-2005 and the writ petition was filed on 26-04-2005. The matter was not actively pursued. In fact, the proceedings sheet shows that matter was adjourned on nine occasions either at the request of the petitioner or because of non-appearance.

Admittedly, the impugned order is an interlocutory order. The petitioner if succeeds in O.A. he can still get all the consequential benefits. In the circumstances, we are of the opinion, it is desirable that the O.A. itself is disposed of at an early date, preferably within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

In the circumstances, we do not see any merit in the writ petition. The writ petition is, therefore, dismissed at the admission stage.

_____

J.CHELAMESWAR, J.

M.VENKATESWARA REDDY, J.

Dated 18-07-2005.

SKMR

Copy to:

1 The District Revenue Officer, Karimnagar, Karimnagar District.
2 The Revenue Divisional Officer, Sircilla, Karimnagar District.
3 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Sircilla, Karimnagar, Karimnagar District.
4 2 CCs to G.P. for Revenue, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad (OUT).

2 CD copies

*Form-NIC-OGS/WP{**AVLR**}*